26 OCT 22 AM 10:04
CLERK OF

RON BENDER (SBN 143364)
TODD M. ARNOLD (SBN 221868)
ROBERT M. CARRASCO (SBN 334642)
LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO & GOLUBCHIK L.L.P.
2818 La Cienega Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90034
Telephone: (310) 229-1234
Facsimile: (310) 229-1244
Email: RB@LNBYG.COM; TMA@LNBYG.COM; RMC@LNBYG.COM

Attorneys for Chapter 11 Debtor and Debtor in Possession

FILED & ENTERED

OCT 13 2026

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY ghaltchi DEPUTY CLERK

CHANGES MADE BY COURT

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LOS ANGELES DIVISION

In re:

SUPRA NATIONAL EXPRESS, INC.,

Debtor and Debtor in Possession.

Case No.: 2:25-bk-19576-NB

Chapter 11 Case

ORDER (1) APPROVING DISCLOSURE STATEMENT DESCRIBING DEBTOR'S CHAPTER 11 PLAN DATED JUNE 26, 2026, AND (2) CONFIRMING DEBTOR'S CHAPTER 11 PLAN DATED JUNE 26, 2026, AS MODIFIED

BY ORDER OF THE BD/SUPV
Referred To
Copies Furnished County Counsel, Treasurer Tax Collector
Date 10-22-26
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
By Michelle Ortiz, Deputy

Disclosure Statement and Plan Confirmation Hearing

Date:	September 1, 2026 and September 8, 2026
Time:	1:00 p.m.
Location:	Courtroom 1545 255 East Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

On June 26, 2026, Supra National Express, Inc. the debtor and debtor in possession herein (the "Debtor"), filed its (1) *Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026* (the "Plan") [Dkt. 174] and (2) *Disclosure Statement Describing Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026* (the "Disclosure Statement") [Dkt. 175].

On July 20, 2026, the Court entered its *Order: (1) Conditionally Approving Disclosure Statement Describing Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026 And (2) Scheduling Dates And Deadlines Related To (A) Final Approval Of Disclosure Statement Describing Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026, And (B) Solicitation And Confirmation Of The Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026* (the "Solicitation/Scheduling Order") [Dkt. 180], pursuant to which the Court, *inter alia*, conditionally approved the Disclosure Statement and set dates, deadlines, and procedures regarding the final approval of the Disclosure Statement, solicitation of the Plan, and confirmation of the Plan.

On July 28, 2026, the Debtor filed and served its *Notice Of (1) Hearing To Consider (A) Final Approval Of Disclosure Statement Describing Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026 And (B) Confirmation Of Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026, And (2) Related Dates And Deadlines* (the "DS and Plan Notice") [Dkt. 185].

Also on July 28, 2026, the Debtor filed its *Declaration Of Service Re: Solicitation Package* (the "Solicitation Proof of Service") [Dkt. 186].

On August 13, 2026, the Debtor filed its *Notice Of Errata To (1) Disclosure Statement Describing Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026; And (2) Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026* (the "Notice of Errata") [Dkt. 194], pursuant to which the Debtor gave all creditors and parties in interest notice of the correction of two scrivener's errors in the Disclosure Statement and Plan regarding the treatment of Class 9 general unsecured claims.

On August 17, 2026, Harvest Small Business Finance, LLC ("Harvest") filed its (1) objection [Dkt. 196] to confirmation of the Debtor's Plan and (2) Declaration of Daniel Yoo in support of such objection (together, the "Harvest Objection") [Dkt. 197].

On August 18, 2026, Interpool, Inc., dba Trac Intermodal ("Trac") filed its objection [Dkt. 199] to confirmation of the Debtor's Plan (the "Trac Objection") [Dkt. 199].

On August 20, 2026, the Debtor filed its stipulation (the "Carson Stipulation") [Dkt. 201] with Carson Dominguez Properties, L.P. ("Carson Dominguez") resolving issues regarding (1) the claims of Carson Dominguez, (2) the treatment of Carson Dominguez's claims, and (3) related matters, which Carson Stipulation was approved by an order of the Court [Dkt. 203].

On August 25, 2026, the Debtor filed its stipulation (the "Mitco Stipulation") [Dkt. 208] with May International, Inc. (aka Mitco) ("Mitco") resolving issues regarding (1) the claims of Mitco, (2) the treatment of Mitco's claims, and (3) related matters, which Mitco Stipulation was approved by an order of the Court [Dkt. 214].

On August 25, 2026, CSNK Working Capital Finance Corp. d/b/a Bay View Funding ("Bay View") filed its stipulation (the "Bay View Stipulation") [Dkt. 212] with the Debtor resolving issues regarding the treatment of Bay View's claims, which Bay View Stipulation was approved by an order of the Court [Dkt. 215].

On August 25, 2026, the Debtor filed its *Debtor's (I) Ballot Tally In Support Of Confirmation Of The Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026, (II) Omnibus Reply To Objections To Confirmation Of The Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026, And (III) Request To Confirm The Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026; Declaration In Support Thereof* [Dkt. 213], which included the Debtor's (1) ballot tally (the "Ballot Tally") in support of confirmation of the Plan as corrected by the Notice of Errata, (2) omnibus reply (the "Reply") to the Harvest Objection and the Trac Objection, and (3) request to confirm the Plan, as corrected by the Notice of Errata ("Request to Confirm") and modified by the Carson Stipulation, Mitco Stipulation, and Bay View Stipulation.

On September 16, 2026, the Debtor filed its stipulation (the "Duke Stipulation") [Dkt. 224] with Duke Realty Lynwood LP ("Duke") resolving issues regarding (1) the claims of Duke, (2) the treatment of Duke's claims, and (3) related matters, which Duke Stipulation was approved by an order of the Court [Dkt. 225].

On September 21, 2026, the Debtor filed its stipulation (the "Trac Stipulation") [Dkt. 227] with Trac resolving the Trac Objection, which Trac Stipulation was approved by an order

of the Court [Dkt. 228], the entry of which resulted in the Trac Objection being deemed to have been withdrawn and Trac's ballot initially rejecting the Plan being deemed to have accepted the Plan.

On September 1, 2026, at the above-referenced time and location, the Court held an initial hearing to consider confirmation of the Plan, and, on September 8, 2026, at the above-referenced time and location, the Court held a final hearing to consider confirmation of the Plan (together, the "Confirmation Hearings"). Appearances at the Confirmation Hearings were made as set forth on the record of the Court.

At the conclusion of the Confirmation Hearings, the Court directed the Debtor to (1) file a Plan with the modifications required by (a) the Notice of Errata, (b) the Carson Stipulation, Mitco Stipulation, Bay View Stipulation, Duke Stipulation, and Trac Stipulation (collectively the "Plan Stipulations") and the orders of the Court approving the Plan Stipulations, and (c) the Court in regard to the "Exculpations" in paragraph II.D.11 of the Plan, the "Injunctions" in paragraph II.D.12 of the Plan, and purported releases of non-Debtor third-parties generally (the "Modified Plan"), (2) file a redline of the Plan against the Modified Plan (the "Redlined Modified Plan"), and (3) serve the Modified Plan and Redlined Modified Plan on (a) the United States Trustee, (b) Harvest, Carson, Mitco, Bay View, Duke, and Trac (*i.e.*, all creditors that objected to the confirmation of the Plan and all parties to the Plan Stipulations), (c) the 20 largest unsecured creditors, and (d) all parties requesting special notice (collectively, the "Notice Parties").

On October 6, 2026, the Debtor (1) filed and served the Notice Parties with the *Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan Dated June 26, 2026, As Modified* (*i.e.*, the Modified Plan) [Dkt. 230] and (2) filed and served the Notice Parties with the Redlined Modified Plan [Dkt. 231].

Upon consideration of the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, the Solicitation/Scheduling Order, the DS and Plan Notice, the Solicitation Proof of Service, the Notice of Errata, the Harvest Objection, the Trac Objection (later deemed to be withdrawn pursuant the Trac Stipulation and the order of the Court thereon), the Plan Stipulations and the orders of the Court approving the Plan Stipulations, the Ballot Tally, Reply, and Request to Confirm, the

Modified Plan, the Redlined Modified Plan, the arguments and comments of counsel at the Confirmation Hearings, the oral findings and rulings of the Court at the Confirmation Hearings, which are incorporated herein by this reference, and the record in this bankruptcy case, and for good cause shown,

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS, RULES, AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

(1) The Disclosure Statement is hereby approved on a final basis as containing adequate information as defined and required by 11 U.S.C. § 1125.

(2) The Disclosure Statement, Plan and DS and Plan Notice, were served as required by the Solicitation/Scheduling Order and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rules, and Local Bankruptcy Rules.

(3) The Modified Plan contains all of the modifications required by (a) the Noice of Errata, (b) the Plan Stipulations and the orders of the Court approving the Plan Stipulations and (~~b~~c) the Court in regard to the "Exculpations" in paragraph II.D.11 of the Plan, the "Injunctions" in paragraph II.D.12 of the Plan, and purported releases of non-Debtor third-parties generally, all as stated at the Confirmation Hearings.

(4) The modifications reflected in the Modified Plan are not material and do not make it so that the Modified Plan does not meet the requirements of 11 U.S.C. §§ 1122 and 1123, and, therefore, re-solicitation of the Modified Plan is not required. 11 U.S.C. §§ 1127(a) and (d).

(5) As set forth in the Ballot Talley and Request to Confirm, and further based on the Plan Stipulations and the orders of the Court approving the Plan Stipulations, the Classes under the Plan and Modified Plan voted as follows:

CLASS AND DESCRIPTION	VOTING RIGHTS AND OUTCOME
Class 1 – Secured Claim of Kern County for real property taxes related to the Shafter Property[1]	As discussed in the Request to Confirm, this secured creditor is impaired under the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan) and was entitled to vote on the Plan (which is also the case under the

[1] Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Disclosure Statement, Plan, and Modified Plan.

CLASS AND DESCRIPTION	VOTING RIGHTS AND OUTCOME
	Modified Plan) but did not vote on the Plan. The Court finds that, pursuant to this Court's *Procedures of Judge Bason (Rev. 10/10/25)*, at §VIII.DD, either (1) this creditor waived or forfeited the requirements for cramdown on a secured creditor under Section 1129(b)(1) and (2)(A) or (2) the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan) meets the minimum requirements for cramdown on a secured creditor under Section 1129(b)(1) and (2)(A) as to this creditor.
Class 2 – Secured Claim of Harvest secured by the Shafter Property	This creditor voted to reject the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan). This Court finds that the Modified Plan meets the requirements for cramdown on Harvest under Section 1129(b)(1) and (2)(A), (1) because (a) Harvest is adequately protected by an equity cushion of over 76% that it does not contest, (b) Harvest is further adequately protected by continued monthly principal and interest payments at the contractual rate, plus an additional monthly payment in the amount of $1,000 starting after the Effective Date, until payment in full 20 years sooner than required under the Harvest loan to the Debtor, and (c) Harvest is further adequately protected by the terms of the Modified Plan, which provide for Harvest to be paid in full, with interest at the non-default contractual rate, by no later than the end of the third quarter of 2027 (again, 20 years before due), and (2) for the other the reasons discussed in the Request to Confirm, argued by the Debtor's counsel at the Confirmation Hearings, and stated by the Court at the Confirmation Hearings. All of the foregoing support the "cramdown" interest rate under the Modified Plan, which is the non-default interest rate under the loan agreement between the Debtor and Harvest (the "Loan Agreement"), which is 9.5% and subject to adjustment under the Loan Agreement, because, given the foregoing protections and treatment under the Modified Plan, Harvest is not undertaking or being exposed to any material risk of non-payment or delay in payment that would support more than the contractual non-default rate of interest. Further, as

CLASS AND DESCRIPTION	VOTING RIGHTS AND OUTCOME
	set forth in the Modified Plan, the Debtor clarified that Harvest is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and other contractual charges on its claim pursuant to Section 506(b).
Class 3 – Secured Claim of Duke Realty Secured by the Shafter Property	Same as Class 1 above.
Class 4 – Secured Claim of the SBA	There was no vote in this Class. As set forth in the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan), this Class was not entitled to vote on the Plan, because, under the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan), this Class is unimpaired and, therefore, conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan) pursuant to Section 1126(f). In addition, the sole creditor in this Class did not object to the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan), the classification of its claim in the Plan (which is the same in the Modified Plan), or the indication in the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan) that its claim is unimpaired and, therefore, not entitled to vote and conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan pursuant to Section 1126(f).
Class 5 – Secured Claim of Channel Capital Partners	Same as Class 4 above.
Class 6 – Secured Claim of Crossroads Equip. Lease & Finance. LLC	Same as Class 4 above.
Class 7 – Secured Claim of May International, Inc. (aka Mitco)	Same as Class 4 above, and, pursuant to the Mitco Stipulation and the order of the Court approving the Mitco Stipulation, Mitco agreed to its treatment under the Modified Plan.
Class 8 – Secured Claim of Ascentium Capital	Same as Class 4 above.
Class 9 – All General Unsecured Claims.	Impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan) **with 89% in number of ballots and 74% in dollar value of ballots voting in favor of the Plan**. While the foregoing percentages are sufficient under 11 U.S.C. § 1126(c) for this Court to hold that this Class accepted the Plan, the Court notes that the foregoing

CLASS AND DESCRIPTION	VOTING RIGHTS AND OUTCOME
	percentages are now both 100%, because, pursuant to the Trac Stipulation and the order of the Court approving the Trac Stipulation, Trac's ballot initially rejecting the Plan, which was the only Class 9 ballot rejecting the Plan, is deemed to have accepted the Modified Plan.
Class 10 – Equity Interests of Daniel Linares who owns 100% of the Equity Interests in the Debtor	There was no vote in this Class. As set forth in the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan), this Class was not entitled to vote on the Plan, because, under the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan), this Class is unimpaired and, therefore, conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan pursuant to Section 1126(f). In addition, the sole Equity Interest Holder in this Class did not object to the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan), the classification of his Equity Interest in the Plan (which is same in the Modified Plan), or the indication in the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan) that his Equity Interest is unimpaired and, therefore, not entitled to vote and conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan (which is also the case under the Modified Plan) pursuant to Section 1126(f).

(6) The Trac Objection was deemed to be withdrawn pursuant the Trac Stipulation and the order of the Court thereon.

(7) The Harvest Objection is overruled.

(8) The Modified Plan is hereby confirmed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a) and (b), as applicable.

(9) By no later than **January 12, 2027**, the Reorganized Debtor shall file a status report with the Court explaining what progress has been made toward consummation of the confirmed Modified Plan and including the other information required by LBR 3020-1(b). The status report shall be served on the United States Trustee, the twenty largest unsecured creditors, and those parties who have requested special notice. The failure to file timely

postconfirmation status reports is cause for dismissal or conversion to a case under chapter 7 pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1112(b).

(10) A postconfirmation status conference shall be held on **January 26, 2027, at 1:00 p.m.**, at the above-referenced location.

(11) Debtor is directed to file a Notice of Effective Date within 14 days after the effective date has occurred.

(12) If the case is converted to one under chapter 7, the property of the reorganized debtor, or of any liquidation or litigation trust, or of any other successor to the estate under the plan, that has not been distributed under the plan will be vested in the chapter 7 estate, except for property that would have been excluded from the estate if the case had always been one under chapter 7.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

###

Date: October 13, 2026

Neil W. Bason
United States Bankruptcy Judge